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#### Abstract

Reactions of [ PtClR (cod) $]$ with $\mathrm{TlC}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{PPh}_{2}$ yield dimeric complexes of the type $\left[\mathrm{Pt}_{2} \mathrm{R}_{2}\left(\mu-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right)_{2}\right]$ (1a, $\mathbf{R}$ $=\mathrm{Me} ; \mathbf{1 b}, \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Et}, \mathbf{1 c}, \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Np} ; \mathbf{1 d}, \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Ph})$. The crystal structure of $\mathbf{1 a}$ has been determined. The compound crystallizes in the monoclinic space group $P 2_{1} / n$ with $a=10.155$ (2) $\AA, b=14.696$ (3) $\AA, c=21.080$ ( 5 ) $\AA, \beta=90.02(2)^{\circ}, V=3146.0$ (11) $\AA^{3}$, and $Z=4$. Least-squares refinement converged at $R=0.0490$ and $R_{\mathrm{W}}=0.0550$ based on 6340 reflections with $F>4.0 \sigma(F)$. The molecular structure reveals a structure of the type $\left[\mathrm{Pt}_{2} \mathrm{Me}_{2}\left(\mu-\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right)\left(\mu-\eta \eta^{1}-1,1-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right)\right]$, in which the cyclopentadienyl group of one dppe ligand coordinates in the usual $\eta^{5}$ mode, whereas the other is bound in $\eta^{1}$ fashion, with both platinum and phosphorus attached to the same carbon atom giving a spirocyclic structure and a four-membered $\mathrm{Pt}_{2} \mathrm{PC}$ ring containing a $\mathrm{Pt} \rightarrow \mathrm{Pt}$ dative bond. The dimers are fluxional in solution at ambient temperature, but the solid-state structure of 1a is consistent with the NMR parameters obtained in solution at low temperature for all the complexes. A minor species is detected in solution for 1 c and 1 dd , which is consistent with a symmetrical dimer of the type $\left[\mathrm{Pt}_{2} \mathrm{R}_{2}\left(\mu-\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right)_{2}\right]$. Crystals of 1d were obtained by slow evaporation of an ether solution and the crystal structure was determined. This compound crystallizes in the triclinic space group PI with $a=10.256$ (4) $\AA, b=13.693$ (5) $\AA, c=14.687$ (7) $\AA, \alpha=95.52(3)^{\circ}, \beta$ $=109.68(3)^{\circ}, \gamma=101.87(3)^{\circ}, V=1869.7(13) \AA^{3}$, and $Z=2$. Least-squares refinement converged at $R=0.0221$ and $R_{\mathrm{w}}=0.0266$ based on 6759 reflections with $F>6.0 \sigma(F)$. The molecular structure reveals that both cyclopentadienyl groups are indeed bonded in $\eta^{5}$ fashion, but the molecule is distorted and does not possess a center of symmetry. An equilibrium between the two forms in solution is proposed, and the symmetrical dimer, or a closely related analogue, is suggested to be an intermediate in the fluxional process observed for the unsymmetrical dimer at ambient temperature.


## Introduction

There has been considerable interest in recent years in the construction of homo- and heterometallic complexes through the use of unsymmetrical, bidentate ligands. One such is the diphenylphosphinocyclopentadienyl (dppc) ligand, which typically coordinates to one metal by means of the $\eta^{5}$-cyclopentadienyl group and to a second through the phosphino group. This ligand has proved particularly versatile in the synthesis of complexes containing dissimilar metals. ${ }^{1}$ These include complexes prepared from the commerically avaiable bis(diphenylphosphino)ferrocene (dppf) fragment, ${ }^{2-21}$ as well as those prepared from one ${ }^{22-31}$ or two ${ }^{32-38} \mathrm{dppc}$ (or dimethylphosphinocyclopentadienyl (dmpc)) ligands by consecutively attaching the two metal centers. Several dicobalt, dirhodium, and diiridium complexes bridged by dppc or dmpc ligands have also been prepared. ${ }^{39-43}$

Many of these complexes have been characterized by X-ray diffraction methods, and in each case the cyclopentadienyl group is attached to the metal in the $\eta^{5}$ mode. In a previous communication we reported the structure of $\left[\mathrm{Pt}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}_{2}\left(\mu-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right)_{2}\right]$, ${ }^{44}$ in which the cyclopentadienyl rings are also bonded to platinum in $\eta^{5}$ fashion. We have shown that the cyclopentadienyl group of the dppe ligand can coordinate in several different modes, however ${ }^{45}$ In this paper we will describe the unexpected structure of $\left[\mathrm{Pt}_{2} \mathrm{Me}_{2}\left(\mu-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right)_{2}\right]$ in the solid state, which is adopted by each complex of the type $\left[\mathrm{Pt}_{2} \mathrm{R}_{2}\left(\mu-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right)_{2}\right]$ in solution, and its relationship to that reported previously for its phenyl analogue. ${ }^{44}$

## Results and Discussion

Addition of 1 mol equiv of $\mathrm{TlC}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{PPh}_{2}$ to a benzene solution of $[\mathrm{PtClR}(\operatorname{cod})](\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{Et}, \mathrm{Np}, \mathrm{Ph} ; \operatorname{cod}=1,5$-cyclooctadiene) at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under an argon atmosphere results in the formation of a precipitate of TlCl and a clear yellow solution. Filtration, or purification by passing the solution through a short alumina

[^0]Table I. ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ and $\left.{ }^{195} \mathrm{Pt} \mid{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR Parameters for $1 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{d}^{a}$

|  | $\frac{1 \mathbf{a}}{\left(-50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 1b } \\ \left(-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \mathrm{c}^{b} \\ \left(-50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 d^{c} \\ \left(-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\delta \mathrm{P}_{1}$ | $-13.3 \mathrm{~d}$ | $-13.7 \mathrm{~d}$ | -16.0 d | $-21.0 \mathrm{~d}$ |
| $\delta \mathrm{P}_{2}$ | 16.8 d | 15.8 d | 11.4 d | 12.7 d |
| ${ }^{1} J\left(\mathrm{P}_{1}, \mathrm{Pt}_{1}\right)$ | 4147 | 4338 | 4224 | 4085 |
| ${ }^{1} J\left(\mathrm{P}_{2}, \mathrm{Pt}_{2}\right)$ | 3969 | 4281 | 4396 | 3589 |
| ${ }^{2} J\left(\mathrm{P}_{1}, \mathrm{Pt}_{2}\right)$ | 305 | 358 | 365 | 351 |
| ${ }^{2} J\left(\mathrm{P}_{2}, \mathrm{Pt}_{1}\right)$ | 126 | 140 | 118 | 105 |
| ${ }^{3} J\left(\mathrm{P}_{1}, \mathrm{P}_{2}\right)$ | 20 | 19 | 21 | 22 |
| $\delta \mathrm{Pt}_{1}$ | -4278 dd | -4252d | -4266 d | -4103 d |
| $\delta \mathrm{Pt}_{2}$ | -3389 dd | -3369 dd | -3387 dd | -3045 dd |
| ${ }^{1} J\left(\mathrm{Pt}_{1}, \mathrm{Pt}_{2}\right)$ | 1134 | 1180 | 1154 | 1100 |

${ }^{a}$ Recorded in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ solution. Chemical shifts are relative to external $85 \% \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}\left({ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)$ or $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{PtCl}_{4}\left({ }^{195} \mathrm{Pt}\right)$, positive shifts representing deshielding. Coupling constants are in hertz. ${ }^{b} \mathrm{~A}$ minor species is observed in $\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ solution at $-90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ at $\delta \mathrm{P}-1.0, \delta \mathrm{Pt}-3763,{ }^{1} J(\mathrm{Pt}, \mathrm{P})$ 5840 Hz . ${ }^{c} \mathrm{~A}$ minor species is observed at $\delta \mathrm{P}-1.6, \delta \mathrm{Pt}-3512,{ }^{1} J(\mathrm{Pt}, \mathrm{P})$ 5690 Hz .
column, followed by solvent removal produces $\left[\mathrm{Pt}_{2} \mathrm{R}_{2}(\mu\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right)_{2}$ ] in good yield (eq 1). The reaction can be carried $2[\mathrm{PtClR}(\operatorname{cod})]+2 \mathrm{TlC}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{PPh}_{2} \rightarrow$

$$
\begin{gathered}
{\left[\mathrm{Pt}_{2} \mathrm{R}_{2}\left(\mu-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right)_{2}\right]+2 \mathrm{TlCl}} \\
\mathbf{1} \mathbf{a}: \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Me} \\
\mathbf{1 b}: \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Et} \\
\mathbf{1}: \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Np} \\
\mathbf{1 d}: \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Ph}
\end{gathered}
$$

[^1]Table II. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR parameters for $1 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{d}^{a}$

|  | 1a <br> $\left(-50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | 1b <br> $\left(-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | 1c <br> $\left(-50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | 1d <br> $\left(-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{C}_{5} H_{4}$ | $4.61^{b}$ | $4.60^{b}$ | $3.84^{b}$ | $4.63^{b}$ |
|  | $4.85^{b}$ | $4.73^{b}$ | $4.86^{b}$ | $5.05^{b}$ |
|  | $5.71^{c}$ | $5.77^{c}$ | $5.53^{c}$ | $5.58^{c}$ |
|  | $6.32^{b}$ | $6.38^{b}$ | $5.96^{b}$ | $6.02^{b}$ |
|  | $6.39^{c}$ | $6.38^{b}$ | $6.16^{c}$ | $6.14^{c}$ |
|  | $6.42^{c}$ | $6.38^{c}$ | $6.51^{b}$ | $6.20^{c}$ |
|  | $6.49^{b}$ | $6.61^{c}$ | $6.51^{c}$ | $6.42^{b}$ |
|  | $6.77^{c}$ | $6.79^{c}$ | $6.70^{c}$ | $6.54^{c}$ |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ |  | 0.61 br | $d$ |  |
|  |  | 0.81 br | 1.37 br |  |
|  |  | 1.68 br | 2.20 br |  |
|  |  | 1.98 br | 2.63 br |  |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $0.30 \mathrm{~d}\left(1.8, J_{\mathrm{P} H} 91\right)$ | 1.00 | 0.47 |  |
|  | $0.88 \mathrm{~d}\left(2.3, J_{\mathrm{PtH}} 88\right)$ | 1.15 | 0.67 |  |

${ }^{a}$ Recorded in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ solution. Chemical shifts are relative to the residual solvent resonance. Coupling constants are in hertz. ${ }^{b 1} \mathrm{H}$ resonances due to the $\eta^{3}$ ring. ${ }^{c 1} \mathrm{H}$ resonances due to the $\eta^{1}$ ring. ${ }^{d}$ Resonance not observed.
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Figure 1. Projection view of the molecular structure of 1a, showing the atom labeling scheme.
solubility of $[\mathrm{PtClR}(\operatorname{cod})]$ in this solvent. The products are air-stable, yellow solids, which give yellow to orange solutions in most organic solvents. They decompose only very slowly in solution.

The complexes 1a-d are fluxional in solution at ambient temperature, two broad, featureless resonances being observed in the $\left.{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectra of $1 \mathbf{a}-\mathrm{c}$, whereas no signals are detected at all in the spectrum of $1 \mathbf{d}$. A single resonance is observed at high temperature (vide infra), but cooling to $-50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ allows the observation of two distinct ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ resonances in each case, each of which exhibits short- and long-range coupling to ${ }^{195} \mathrm{Pt}$ (Table I). The ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ resonances are separated by ca. 30 ppm , and in the ${ }^{195} \mathrm{Pt}$ NMR spectra the signals are approximately 1000 ppm apart, indicating that the two platinum centers are in very different environments. In each case the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left[{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectra exhibit two sets of resonances for the organic groups attached to platinum, separate resonances for every hydrogen and carbon of the cyclopentadienyl rings (Tables II and III), and extremely complex aromatic regions, which can only be accounted for in terms of all four phosphino phenyls being nonequivalent.

In most instances the complexes are obtained as powders, but crystals of the methyl derivative 1a were obtained from benzene solution. The molecular structure of 1 la has been determined and is shown in Figure 1. Selected bond lengths and angles are presented in Table IV. The dimeric unit is of a most unexpected and unsymmetrical nature. One dppe ligand functions in the usual $\eta^{5}$-cyclopentadienyl mode, such that one platinum center has a $\mathrm{Pt}\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{Cp}\right)$ RL-type coordination, but the second dppe moiety is coordinated in a quite different manner. The cyclopentadienyl

[^2]Table III. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR Parameters for 1a-d ${ }^{a}$

|  | $\left(-50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 1b } \\ \left(-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{1 c} \\ \left(-50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\frac{1 \mathrm{~d}}{\left(-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | 47.3 dd (61, 36) ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 46.9 dd (60,37) ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | $47.5 \mathrm{dd}(59,38)$ | $b$ |
|  | $81.9 \mathrm{~d}(65)^{\text {d }}$ | 84.7 d (66) ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | $80.7 \mathrm{~d}(64)$ | 82.3 d (66) |
|  | $93.2 \mathrm{t}(12)^{\text {c }}$ | $93.8 \mathrm{t}(11)^{c}\left(J_{\mathrm{CH}} 177\right)$ | 90.2 d (6) | 96.5 t (10) |
|  | $100.3 \mathrm{~d}(13)^{\text {c }}$ | $98.1 \mathrm{~d}(13)^{c}\left(J_{\mathrm{CH}} 176\right)$ | 100.1 d (9) | 97.5 d (13) |
|  | 105.3 br s ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | $105.8 \mathrm{~d}(4)^{c}\left(J_{\mathrm{CH}} 174\right)$ | 107.1 d (8) | 105.6 d (4) |
|  | $107.4 \mathrm{~d}(7)^{\text {c }}$ | 108.7 d (8) ${ }^{c}\left(J_{\text {CH }} 177\right)$ | 107.7 d (11) | 107.5 d (7) |
|  | $118.0 \mathrm{~d}(11)^{\text {dee }}$ (int 2) | $116.9 \mathrm{~d}(11)^{d}\left(J_{\text {CH }} 161\right)$ | 117.4 d (9) | 111.4 d (10) |
|  |  | $118.3 \mathrm{~d}(10)^{d}\left(J_{\mathrm{CH}} 168\right)$ | 120.4 d (11) | 120.9 d (10) |
|  | $123.7 \mathrm{~d}(12)^{d}$ | $124.0 \mathrm{~d}(11)^{d}\left(J_{\mathrm{CH}} 157\right)$ | $125.9 \mathrm{~d}(6)$ | $121.1 \mathrm{~d}(12)$ |
|  | $129.5 \mathrm{~d}(5)^{d}$ | $129.0^{d}$ | $b$ | $b$ |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & -11.8\left(J_{\mathrm{PtC}} 772\right) \\ & 16.4\left(J_{\mathrm{PtC}} 865\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 14.4\left(J_{\mathrm{PtC}} 816\right) \\ & b \end{aligned}$ |  |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -36.5\left(J_{\mathrm{PtC}} 800\right) \\ & -2.8\left(J_{\mathrm{PtC}} 870\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 21.8 \\ & 25.6 \end{aligned}$ | 31-35 br |  |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 30.0 \\ & 43.9 \end{aligned}$ |  |

${ }^{a}$ Recorded in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ solution. Chemical shifts are relative to the residual solvent resonance. Coupling constants are in hertz and are to ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ unless stated. ${ }^{b}$ Not observed. ${ }^{c 13} \mathrm{C}$ resonances due to the $\eta^{5}$ ring. ${ }^{d 13} \mathrm{C}$ resonances due to the $\eta^{1}$ ring. ${ }^{e} \mathrm{In} \mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ solution at $-50{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ this is resolved into two doublets at 117.8 (12) and 118.8 (11).

Table IV. Selected Bond Lengths ( $\AA$ ) and Angles (deg) for 1a

| Bond Lengths |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Pt}(1)-\mathrm{Pt}(2)$ | 2.723 (1) | $\mathrm{Pt}(1)-\mathrm{P}(1)$ | 2.202 (2) |
| $\mathrm{Pt}(1)-\mathrm{C}(30)$ | 2.372 (8) | $\mathrm{Pt}(1)-\mathrm{C}(31)$ | 2.333 (10) |
| $\mathrm{Pt}(1)-\mathrm{C}(32)$ | 2.362 (13) | $\mathrm{Pt}(1)-\mathrm{C}(33)$ | 2.411 (11) |
| $\mathrm{Pt}(1)-\mathrm{C}(34)$ | 2.315 (9) | $\mathrm{Pt}(1)-\mathrm{C}(35)$ | 2.069 (12) |
| $\mathrm{Pt}(2)-\mathrm{P}$ (2) | 2.189 (2) | $\mathrm{Pt}(2)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | 2.217 (8) |
| $\mathrm{Pt}(2)-\mathrm{C}(36)$ | 2.118 (13) | $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | 1.806 (9) |
| $\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{C}(30)$ | 1.809 (9) | $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | 1.409 (15) |
| $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(17)$ | 1.460 (14) | $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | 1.337 (19) |
| $\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | 1.381 (26) | $\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(17)$ | 1.359 (20) |
| $\mathrm{C}(30)-\mathrm{C}(31)$ | 1.408 (13) | $\mathrm{C}(30)-\mathrm{C}(34)$ | 1.435 (13) |
| $\mathrm{C}(31)-\mathrm{C}(32)$ | 1.423 (17) | $\mathrm{C}(32)-\mathrm{C}(33)$ | 1.390 (20) |
| $\mathrm{C}(33)-\mathrm{C}(34)$ | 1.402 (15) |  |  |
| Bond Angles |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Pt}(2)-\mathrm{Pt}(1)-\mathrm{P}(1)$ | 68.1 (1) | $\mathrm{Pt}(2)-\mathrm{Pt}(1)-\mathrm{C}(35)$ | 99.4 (3) |
| $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{Pt}(1)-\mathrm{C}(35)$ | 89.9 (3) | $\mathrm{Pt}(1)-\mathrm{Pt}(2)-\mathrm{P}(2)$ | 82.2 (1) |
| $\mathrm{Pt}(1)-\mathrm{Pt}(2)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | 82.9 (2) | $\mathrm{Pt}(1)-\mathrm{Pt}(2)-\mathrm{C}(36)$ | 175.7 (4) |
| $\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{Pt}(2)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | 162.1 (2) | $\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{Pt}(2)-\mathrm{C}(36)$ | 99.0 (4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{Pt}(2)-\mathrm{C}(36)$ | 96.5 (5) | $\mathrm{Pt}(1)-\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | 110.1 (3) |
| $\mathrm{Pt}(2)-\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{C}(30)$ | 101.1 (3) | $\mathrm{Pt}(2)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{P}(1)$ | 87.2 (3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(17)$ | 104.9 (9) | $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | 109.5 (12) |
| $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | 109.0 (14) | $\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(17)$ | 109.7 (13) |
| $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | 106.6 (12) | $C(31)-C(30)-C(34)$ | 106.3 (8) |
| $\mathrm{C}(30)-\mathrm{C}(31)-\mathrm{C}(32)$ | 107.6 (10) | $C(31)-C(32)-C(33)$ | 109.4 (11) |
| $\mathrm{C}(32)-\mathrm{C}(33)-\mathrm{C}(34)$ | 107.1 (10) | $\mathrm{C}(30)-\mathrm{C}(34)-\mathrm{C}(33)$ | 109.1 (9) |

group functions as an $\eta^{1}$ ligand and the five-membered ring is 1,1 -substituted by phosphorus and platinum, giving a spirocyclic arrangement. The $\eta^{1}$-cyclopentadienyl lies approximately trans to the phosphino group of the second dppc ligand $(\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{Pt}(2)-$ $C(13) 162.1(2)^{\circ}$ ), with the methyl group in a cis position. The remaining coordination site at this distorted square-planar platinum center is occupied by a metal-metal bond. The Pt-Pt distance is 2.723 (1) $\AA$. Without the metal-metal bond the two platinum(II) centers would be 18 - and 14 -electron fragments, respectively. Thus, we conclude that the metal-metal bond is in fact a dative bond, the 18 -electron center acting as a two-electron donor to the more electron-deficient platinum center (see below).


A
Such a dative bond between two platinum(II) centers has been proposed previously for the [ $\left.\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{Pt}(\mu \text { - } \mathrm{dppm})_{2} \mathrm{PtMe}\right]^{+}$cation, in which the $\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{Pt}$ distance is $2.769 \AA$. $^{46}$ In that case both platinum
centers achieve a formal electron count of 16 , whereas in the present instance $\operatorname{Pt}(1)$ and $\operatorname{Pt}(2)$ become 18- and 16 -electron centers, respectively.

For the $\eta^{3}$-cyclopentadienyl ring the $\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{C}$ distances show the type of variation frequently observed for such groups (ranging from 2.315 (9) to 2.411 (11) $\AA$ ), ${ }^{47}$ and the C-C distances exhibit only slight differences, lying between 1.390 (20) and 1.435 (13) $\AA$. The $\eta^{1}$ ring exhibits longer and unequal $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ distances for the $\mathrm{sp}^{3}$ carbon $(\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)=1.409(15)$ and $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(17)=$ 1.460 (14) $\AA$ ), whereas $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)(1.337$ (19) $\AA$ ) and C -(16)-C(17) (1.359 (20) $\AA$ ) are essentially double bonds, and $\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ is of an intermediate nature. The $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{Pt}(1)-\mathrm{C}(35)$ angle is $89.9(3)^{\circ}$.

Of particular interest is the four-membered $\mathrm{Pt}_{2} \mathrm{PC}$ ring. The $\mathrm{Pt}(1)-\mathrm{P}(1)$ and $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ distances are unremarkable, and the $\mathrm{Pt}(2)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ bond is only slightly long at 2.217 (8) $\AA$. The $\mathrm{Pt}(1)-\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ angle is almost exactly tetrahedral, whereas the $\mathrm{Pt}(2)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{P}(1)$ angle is closed to 87.2 (3) ${ }^{\circ}$. The angles at platinum are both less than $90^{\circ}$; the $\mathrm{Pt}(1)-\mathrm{Pt}(2)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ angle is $82.9(2)^{\circ}$, whereas the $\mathrm{Pt}(2)-\mathrm{Pt}(1)-\mathrm{P}(1)$ angle is quite acute at $68.1(1)^{\circ}$. We have observed a Pt-Pt-P angle of $73.5(1)^{\circ}$ in the related platinum(I) dimer $\left[\mathrm{Pt}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}\left(\mu-\eta^{1}-1,1-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right)_{2}\right]^{45}$ and an angle of $77.2(1)^{\circ}$ was found in $\left[\mathrm{Pt}_{2}\left\{\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{OPh})_{3}\right\}_{2}(\mu\right.$ $\left.\left.\mathrm{SPEt}_{2}\right)_{2}\right]^{48}$ In the present instance there is a short contact of 2.79 A between $\mathrm{Pt}(2)$ and $\mathrm{P}(1)$, which is less than the sum of the van der Waals radii. It is uncertain whether this represents a bonding interaction or is simply a consequence of the presence of the four-membered ring and the acute angle at $\mathrm{Pt}(1)$. In [ $\mathrm{Pt}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}\left(\mu-\eta^{1}-1,1-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right)_{2}$ ], where the same four-membered ring is involved, the corresponding distance is $2.90 \AA$, however. ${ }^{45}$ It may be that the bulkier $\eta^{5}$-cyclopentadienyl group on $\mathrm{Pt}(1)$ in 1a necessitates the smaller $\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{P}$ angle and, hence, the shorter $\mathrm{Pt}(2)-\mathrm{P}(1)$ distance.
The structure of la revealed by X-ray diffraction accounts for the low-temperature NMR data found for $1 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{d}$. The existence of one platinum center bearing an $\eta^{5}$-cyclopentadienyl group (formally 18 -electron) and one with an $\eta^{1}$-cyclopentadienyl moiety (formally 16-electron, including the $\mathrm{Pt} \rightarrow \mathrm{Pt}$ bond) readily explains the large differences in ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ and ${ }^{195} \mathrm{Pt}$ chemical shifts, the platinum with the $\eta^{5}-\mathrm{Cp}$ and its attached phosphorus being significantly shielded relative to their electron-deficient counterparts. Although platinum-platinum couplings are notoriously difficult to interpret, ${ }^{49}$
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Figure 2. ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{[ } \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathbf{1 c}$ in $\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ solution at $-90{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. ${ }^{195} \mathrm{Pt}$ satellites for the symmetrical isomer are denoted by asterisks.
the values of $1100-1200 \mathrm{~Hz}$ are certainly indicative of the presence of a metal-metal bond. These are considerably larger than the ${ }^{1} J(\mathrm{Pt}, \mathrm{Pt})$ value of 332 Hz found in the $\left[\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{Pt}(\mu-\mathrm{dppm}){ }_{2} \mathrm{PtMe}\right]^{+}$ cation, ${ }^{46}$ indicating a greater degree of s-character in the met-al-metal bond in 1a. This, in addition to the shorter bond length, suggests a stronger dative bond in this case. In 1a-d both ${ }^{1} J(\mathrm{Pt}, \mathrm{P})$ couplings are large, but the magnitude of ${ }^{2} J\left(\mathrm{P}_{1}, \mathrm{Pt}_{2}\right)$ is approximately three times that of ${ }^{2} J\left(\mathrm{P}_{2}, \mathrm{Pt}_{1}\right)$ (Table I). This reflects the existence of two possible two-bond coupling paths between $P_{1}$ and $\mathrm{Pt}_{2}$, whereas there is only one between $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{Pt}_{1}$ (coupling through the $\eta^{5}$-cyclopentadienyl moiety will be minimal owing to the lack of s-electron density in the $\eta^{s}$-cyclopentadienylplatinum interaction).

In the low-temperature ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectra two sets of signals are observed for the organic groups attached to platinum. Again there is a significant difference in the shielding of these nuclei. For example, in the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum of 1a the two methyl carbons are found at -36.5 and -2.8 ppm , the former showing a remarkably high degree of shielding. Similarly, in 1b the $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ groups attached to platinum resonate at -11.8 and 16.4 ppm (Table III). In the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of 1 b and 1 c the $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ groups are diastereotopic, due to the lack of symmetry of the molecule, and four resonances for the methylene hydrogens are detected in each complex (Table II). This lack of symmetry is also responsible for the existence of eight ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ten ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ resonances for the cyclopentadienyl groups. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ signals have been assigned to individual rings on the basis of ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ homonuclear correlation (COSY) experiments (coupling only being observed between the hydrogens on the same ring). Also, ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{-1} \mathrm{H}$ heteronuclear correlation experiments for 1a and 1b have allowed the carbons to be assigned to individual rings (resonances at 129.5 for 1a and 129.0 for 1b, hidden in the aromatic region, were identified in this manner). The methine carbons on the $\eta^{5}$ ring exhibit greater shielding, while the chemical shifts of those on the $\eta^{1}$ ring are characteristic of olefinic carbons. In each complex the phosphorus-bearing carbon of the $\eta^{5}$ ring appears as a doublet at $80-85 \mathrm{ppm}$, whereas that of the $\eta^{1}$ ring is a doublet of doublets at $45-50 \mathrm{ppm}$. In the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra the two most shielded hydrogens are $\alpha$ to the phosphorus (vide infra) on the $\eta^{5}$ ring, and the next most shielded is an $\alpha$-hydrogen on the $\eta^{1}$ ring. The remaining signals lie between 6 and 7 ppm and are therefore more typically olefinic. The low-temperature NMR data are clearly consistent with the solid-state structure of 1a.

In the ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ and ${ }^{195} \mathrm{Pt}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectra of $\mathbf{1 c}$ and 1 d a minor species may be observed at low temperatures. In each case this appears as a singlet with ${ }^{195} \mathrm{Pt}$ satellites in the ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum and as a doublet in the ${ }^{195} \mathrm{Pt}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum, with ${ }^{1} J(\mathrm{Pt}, \mathrm{P})$ values of 5840 and 5690 Hz , respectively (Table I). The ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum of 1 c at $-90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ is shown in Figure 2. Observation of only one signal for the minor species suggests that it is a symmetrical dimer, and the magnitudes of the one-bond coupling constants are very similar to that found for $\left[\operatorname{Pt}\left(\eta^{5}-\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) \mathrm{Ph}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)\right]{ }^{50}$ This suggests that the minor species is of the form $\left[\mathrm{Pt}_{2} \mathrm{R}_{2}\left(\mu-\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right)_{2}\right](\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Np}, \mathrm{Ph})$, and integration of the ${ }^{31}{ }^{1}\left\{{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum indicates that it is present to the extent of about $5 \%$ in each case. As the temperature is raised the signals due to the unsymmetrical dimer start to broaden and the minor species is no longer distinguishable.
These observations suggest there is an equilibrium between $\left[\mathrm{Pt}_{2} \mathrm{R}_{2}\left(\mu-\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right)_{2}\right]$ and $\left[\mathrm{Pt}_{2} \mathrm{R}_{2}\left(\mu-\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right)\left(\mu-\eta^{1}-1,1-\right.\right.$ $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{PPh}_{2}$ )] in solution (eq 2). For 1a and 1 b the equilibrium

lies sufficiently far to the right-hand side that the symmetrical form is not detected in solution at any temperature. When the solvent is removed rapidly from a solution of 1a or 1 d by rotary evaporation, however, the solid-state ${ }^{31} P$ NMR spectrum of the resulting powder shows the presence of both species, the unsymmetrical form again predominating.

Slow evaporation of an ether solution of 1d resulted in the formation of orange crystals, which were of suitable quality to perform an X-ray diffraction study. The molecular structure of the resulting complex is shown in Figure 3. Selected bond lengths and angles are presented in Table V . The dimer is of the form $\left[\mathrm{Pt}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}_{2}\left(\mu-\eta \eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right)_{2}\right]$, but it does not possess a center of symmetry, in contrast to the related complex $\left[\mathrm{Rh}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}\left(\mu-\eta^{5}-\right.\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right)_{2}$. ${ }^{39,40}$ In the latter, the two P atoms, the two Rh atoms, and the centroids of the two cyclopentadienyl rings adopt a "chair" conformation, whereas in $\left[\mathrm{Pt}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}_{2}\left(\mu-\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right)_{2}\right]$ the two P


Figure 3. Projection view of the molecular structure of $1 \mathbf{d}$, showing the atom labeling scheme.
atoms, the two Pt atoms, and the centroids of the rings constitute a distorted "boat". The platinum-platinum separation is $4.21 \AA$, similar to the rhodium-rhodium distance of $4.30 \AA$ found in $\left[\mathrm{Rh}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}\left(\mu-\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right)_{2}\right],{ }^{39,40}$ which indicates the absence
of any metal-metal interaction. The two $\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{P}$ and two $\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Ph})$ distances are nearly identical, and the $\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Cp})$ distances show the usual variation (2.303-2.446 for one ring, and 2.308-2.474 for the other) found for $\eta^{5}$-cyclopentadienyls of platinum and palladium, ${ }^{47}$ the $\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{Cp}$ (centroid) distances being 2.034 and 2.070 $\AA$. There are, likewise, only small differences in the bond lengths and angles within the cyclopentadienyl rings. The two $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Ph})$ angles of $96.8(1)^{\circ}$ and $87.0(2)^{\circ}$ are indicative of the distortion of the molecule.

Isolation of crystals of the symmetrical form of 1d is doubtless dependent on using a different solvent, and on the more favorable equilibrium position compared with 1a. Although still the minor component in solution, $\left[\mathrm{Pt}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}_{2}\left(\mu-\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right)_{2}\right]$ apparently crystallizes preferentially and slow crystallization allows the equilibrium to be continuously re-established and a good yield of the symmetrical form to be obtained. In contrast, the more rapid growth of crystals of 1a (and from a different solvent), or solvent removal by rotary evaporation to give 1a or 1d as a powder, yields a product distribution more representative of that observed in solution.

As mentioned above, the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR signals associated with the cyclopentadienyl moieties in $\left[\mathrm{Pt}_{2} \mathrm{R}_{2}\left(\mu-\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right)\left(\mu-\eta^{1}-1,1-\right.\right.$ $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{PPh}_{2}$ )] could be assigned to individual rings by means of


Figure 4. Variable-temperature ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of 1 d in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ solution: (A) $-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;(\mathrm{B})-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (C) $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (D) $+20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (E) $+50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The signal marked with an asterisk is due to free cyclooctadiene.

Table V. Selected Bond Lengths ( $\AA$ ) and Angles (deg) for 1d

|  | Bond Lengths |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Pt}(1)-\mathrm{P}(1)$ | $2.198(1)$ | $\mathrm{Pt}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $2.350(3)$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Pt}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $2.392(3)$ | $\mathrm{Pt}(1)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $2.446(4)$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Pt}(1)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $2.303(4)$ | $\mathrm{Pt}(1)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $2.335(4)$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Pt}(1)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $2.025(4)$ | $\mathrm{Pt}(2)-\mathrm{P}(2)$ | $2.200(2)$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Pt}(2)-\mathrm{C}(24)$ | $2.380(4)$ | $\mathrm{Pt}(2)-\mathrm{C}(25)$ | $2.370(4)$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Pt}(2)-\mathrm{C}(26)$ | $2.308(6)$ | $\mathrm{Pt}(2)-\mathrm{C}(27)$ | $2.445(5)$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Pt}(2)-\mathrm{C}(28)$ | $2.474(5)$ | $\mathrm{Pt}(2)-\mathrm{C}(29)$ | $2.018(4)$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{C}(24)$ | $1.808(5)$ | $\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $1.803(4)$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $1.433(6)$ | $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $1.420(7)$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $1.394(6)$ | $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $1.425(7)$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $1.429(6)$ | $\mathrm{C}(24)-\mathrm{C}(25)$ | $1.432(6)$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{C}(24)-\mathrm{C}(28)$ | $1.439(5)$ | $\mathrm{C}(25)-\mathrm{C}(26)$ | $1.416(7)$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{C}(26)-\mathrm{C}(27)$ | $1.407(6)$ | $\mathrm{C}(27)-\mathrm{C}(28)$ | $1.408(8)$ |  |  |
|  | Bond Angles |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{Pt}(1)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $96.8(1)$ | $\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{Pt}(2)-\mathrm{C}(29)$ | $87.0(2)$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Pt}(1)-\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{C}(24)$ | $112.4(1)$ | $\mathrm{Pt}(2)-\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $111.0(1)$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $107.8(4)$ | $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $109.5(4)$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $106.4(4)$ | $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $109.7(4)$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $106.2(4)$ | $\mathrm{C}(25)-\mathrm{C}(24)-\mathrm{C}(28)$ | $107.3(4)$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{C}(24)-\mathrm{C}(25)-\mathrm{C}(26)$ | $106.7(3)$ | $\mathrm{C}(25)-\mathrm{C}(26)-\mathrm{C}(27)$ | $109.6(5)$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{C}(26)-\mathrm{C}(27)-\mathrm{C}(28)$ | $107.7(4)$ | $\mathrm{C}(24)-\mathrm{C}(28)-\mathrm{C}(27)$ | $108.2(4)$ |  |  |

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}^{-1} \mathrm{H}$ homonuclear correlation exmariments. Variable-temperature studies of $1 \mathbf{1 a}$ and 1 d allowed the hydrogens $\alpha$ and $\beta$ to the phosphino groups to be determined. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra for 1 d at several temperatures are shown in Figure 4. Eight distinct cyclopentadienyl signals due to the unsymmetrical dimer are observed at $-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and the two minor resonances at 5.88 and 6.35 ppm are consistent with $\left[\mathrm{Pt}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}_{2}\left(\mu-\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right)_{2}\right]$. The cyclopentadienyl signals broaden above $-50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the four signals originally observed at $6.02-6.42 \mathrm{ppm}$ coalesce at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and the other four coalesce at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. At $+50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ two relatively sharp signals are observed. 1a behaves in a broadly similar manner, two signals for the methyls and eight resonances for the cyclopentadienyl hydrogens being observed at temperatures below $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. As the temperature is raised the signals broaden, the four resonances clustered at 6.32-6.49 ppm coalesce at $+10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ into a broad line at 6.45 ppm , the methyl signals coalesce at $+40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and the other four cyclopentadienyl signals coalesce at $+50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. At $+90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ there is a single methyl resonance and a relatively sharp singlet at 6.42 ppm , but the resonance at 5.39 ppm remains broad. In each case we assign the signals clustered at $6.0-6.5 \mathrm{ppm}$ to the hydrogens $\beta$ to the phosphino group, since they are farthest removed from the site of substitution, and the remaining resonances to the $\alpha$-hydrogens. Thus at higher temperatures all four $\alpha$-hydrogens and all four $\beta$-hydrogens, as well as the two methyls in the case of 1a, are equivalent, consistent with a symmetrical averaged structure.

Similarly, the ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ signals for 1d broaden and coalesce at +35 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and, although decomposition occurs at elevated temperatures, heating a $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{4} / \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ solution of 1 d allows observation of a broad signal with ${ }^{195} \mathrm{Pt}$ satellites at $+80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, which becomes sharp at $+120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(\delta_{\mathrm{P}}-3.0,{ }^{1} J(\mathrm{Pt}, \mathrm{P}) 4350 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{2} J(\mathrm{Pt}, \mathrm{P}) 155 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$. The value of ${ }^{1} J(\mathrm{Pt}, \mathrm{P})$ is considerably smaller than that observed for [ $\mathrm{Pt}_{2} \mathrm{R}_{2}\left(\mu-\eta^{3}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right)_{2}$ ] at low temperature, and a long-range $\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{P}$ coupling is observed, ruling out the possibility that it is the symmetrical $\eta^{5}$ form that is observed at higher temperatures. The ${ }^{1} J(\mathrm{Pt}, \mathrm{P})$ value is greater than either of the values found in the unsymmetrical form, however. If there is an equilibrium between the two forms at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and the ${ }^{1} J(\mathrm{Pt}, \mathrm{P})$ and ${ }^{2} J(\mathrm{Pt}, \mathrm{P})$ coupling constants are weighted averages of those found for the two forms, then the values obtained would indicate a $3: 1$ mixture of the unsymmetrical and symmetrical dimers. We propose that the symmetrical isomer is an intermediate in a fluxional process that interconverts the $\eta^{5}$ and $\eta^{1}$ rings of the unsymmetrical dimer, which would be accompanied by a reversal of the direction of the $\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{Pt}$ dative bond, and it is of sufficiently low energy to be observed as a minor component at low temperature (eq 3). It is a larger component of the equilibrium mixture at higher temperatures, but since interconversion is rapid under these conditions it cannot be distinguished as a separate species. The free energy of acti-

$v^{n}$ ion, $\Delta G^{*}$, for the interconversion of the unsymmetrical and symmetrical forms is $12.4 \pm 0.4 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ at $35^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{51}$

It may be noted that in the low-temperature ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum of each complex (see Figure 2, for example) the satellites that arise from coupling with $\mathrm{Pt}_{1}\left({ }^{1} J\left(\mathrm{P}_{1}, \mathrm{Pt}_{1}\right)\right.$ and $\left.{ }^{2} J\left(\mathrm{P}_{2}, \mathrm{Pt}_{1}\right)\right)$ are broader than those due to $\mathrm{Pt}_{2}$. Also, the resonance due to $\mathrm{Pt}_{1}$ is significantly broader than that due to $\mathrm{Pt}_{2}$ in each of the ${ }^{195} \mathrm{Pt}$ NMR spectra. These observations indicate a shorter $T_{1}$ for $\mathrm{Pt}_{1}$ and suggest there is greater motion of the 18 -electron platinum center compared with its 16 -electron counterpart. This might indicate that the first step in the fluxional process takes place at the 18 -electron center, perhaps involving slippage of the $\eta^{5}$-cyclopentadienyl toward $\eta^{3}$ coordination prior to cleavage of the metal-metal bond and opening of the structure toward the symmetrical $\eta^{5}$ form.

## Conclusion

The dppc-bridged platinum dimers $\left[\mathrm{Pt}_{2} \mathrm{R}_{2}\left(\mu-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right)_{2}\right]$ can exist in two forms, and each has been isolated and structurally characterized. In one both cyclopentadienyl groups are bonded in $\eta^{5}$ fashion, whereas in the second case one ring coordinates in the $\eta^{1}$ mode and there is a dative bond between the two platinum centers. The two isomers are in equilibrium in solution and can be identified by NMR spectroscopy at low temperatures, the unsymmetrical one predominating. At higher temperatures the molecules are fluxional, and we suggest that the symmetrical dimer is an intermediate in the interconversion of the unsymmetrical forms.

## Experimental Section

All reactions were carried out under an atmosphere of argon, either in a Vacuum Atmospheres controlled-atmosphere box or by using standard Schlenk techniques. NMR spectra were recorded on a Varian XL-300 FT NMR spectrometer. Microanalyses were performed by Atlantic Microlab, Inc, Norcross, GA. $\mathrm{TlC}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{PPh}_{2}{ }^{25}$ was prepared by an established method. The compounds $[\mathrm{PtClR}(\operatorname{cod})](\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{Et}, \mathrm{Np}$, $\mathrm{Ph})$ were prepared from $\left[\mathrm{PtR}_{2}(\mathrm{cod})\right]$ by treatment with $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COCl}$ / $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$ in ether. ${ }^{52-54}$ Previously unreported ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR data follow (couplings to ${ }^{195} \mathrm{Pt}$ in hertz are given in parentheses). $\left[\mathrm{PtEt}_{2}\right.$ (cod) $)$ : $\delta$ 15.9 (34) $\mathrm{CH}_{3} ; 19.5$ (838) $\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} ; 29.8, \mathrm{CH}_{2} ; 99.3$ (47) CH. [PtClEt(cod)]: $\delta 16.3$ (19) $\mathrm{CH}_{3} ; 19.2$ (606) $\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} ; 27.7$ (26) and 31.8 (25) $\mathrm{CH}_{2} ; 82.8$ (235) and $114.5(20) \mathrm{CH}$. $\left[\mathrm{PtNp}_{2}(\operatorname{cod})\right]$ : $\delta 29.7 \mathrm{CH}_{2}$; $35.5(50) \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3} ; 36.0(883) \mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} ; 36.6 \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3} ; 100.4$ (45) CH. [ PtClNp (cod)]: $\delta 27.6$ (26) and $31.9(26) \mathrm{CH}_{2} ; 33.9(29) \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3} ; 35.8$ $\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3} ; 37.8$ (659) $\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} ; 82.9$ (232) and 116.1 (18) CH .
Preparation of $\left[\mathrm{Pt}_{2} \mathrm{Me}_{2}\left(\mu-\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right)_{2}\right]$ (12). To a solution of [ $\mathrm{PtClMe}(\mathrm{cod})](0.353 \mathrm{~g}, 1.00 \mathrm{mmol})$ in benzene $(25 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $\mathrm{TlC}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{PPh}_{2}(0.460 \mathrm{~g}, 1.10 \mathrm{mmol})$ in small portions. The mixture was stirred for 2 days and then filtered through a glass frit. The filtrate was collected and the solvent and free cyclooctadiene were removed in vacuo, leaving the product as a yellow solid ( $0.437 \mathrm{~g}, 88 \%$ ). Anal. Caled for
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|  | 1a | 1d |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| formula | $\mathrm{C}_{36} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{Pt}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{46} \mathrm{H}_{38} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{Pt}_{2}$ |
| mol wt | 918.8 | 1042.9 |
| color, habit | yellow, rectangular | golden brown, parallelepiped |
| space group | $P 2_{1 /} / n$ | PI ${ }^{\text {Pa }}$ |
| a, $\AA$ | 10.155 (2) | 10.256 (4) |
| $b, \AA$ | 14.696 (3) | 13.693 (5) |
| $c, \AA$ | 21.080 (5) | 14.687 (7) |
| $\alpha$, deg | 90 | 95.52 (3) |
| $\beta$, deg | 90.02 (2) | 109.68 (3) |
| $\gamma$, deg | 90 | 101.87 (3) |
| cell vol, $\mathbf{R}^{3}$ | 3146.0 (11) | 1869.7 (13) |
| $Z$ | 4 | 2 |
| $D$ (calcd), $\mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ | 1.940 | 1.852 |
| temp, K | 298 | 295 |
| radiation | graphite monochromated <br> Mo $K \alpha(\lambda=0.71073 \AA)$ | graphite monochromated <br> Mo $\mathrm{K} \alpha(\lambda=0.71073 \AA)$ |
| cryst dimens, mm | $0.5 \times 0.2 \times 0.1$ | $0.25 \times 0.38 \times 0.63$ |
| abs coeff, $\mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ | 9.009 | 7.668 |
| $2 \theta$ range, deg | 3.5-60.0 | 3.5-55.0 |
| scan speed, $\mathrm{deg} / \mathrm{min}$ | 3.97-14.65 | 4.88-29.30 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { scan range }(\omega) \text {, } \\ & \text { deg } \end{aligned}$ | 0.60 plus K $\alpha$ separation | 0.60 plus $\mathrm{K} \alpha$ separation |
| independent rflns | 9238 | 8643 |
| obs rflns | 6340 ( $F>4.0 \sigma(F)$ ) | $6759(F>6.0 \sigma(F)$ ) |
| absorptn correction | empirical | empirical |
| $R$ | 0.0490 | 0.0221 |
| $R_{w}$ | 0.0550 | 0.0266 |
| goodness of fit | 1.25 | 1.14 |

$\mathrm{C}_{36} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{Pt}_{2}: \mathrm{C}, 47.06 ; \mathrm{H}, 3.73$. Found: C, 46.66; H, 3.86. Crystals suitable for X-ray analysis were obtained by slow evaporation of a benzene solution of the complex.

Preparation of $\left[\mathrm{Pt}_{2} \mathrm{Et}_{2}\left(\mu-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right)_{2}\right]$ (1b). $[\mathrm{PtClEt}(\operatorname{cod})](0.168 \mathrm{~g}$, $0.456 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{TlC}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{PPh}_{2}(0.271 \mathrm{~g}, 0.597 \mathrm{mmol})$ were mixed in a round-bottomed flask, and benzene ( 25 mL ) was added. The solution immediately became bright yellow. It was stirred for 12 h and then filtered through an alumina column ( $50 \times 30 \mathrm{~mm}$, acidic, Brockman activity I, 80-200 mesh). The filtrate was concentrated, and pentane addition gave a yellow solid, which was filtered and dried in vacuo ( 0.199 $\mathrm{g}, 46 \%$ ). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{38} \mathrm{H}_{38} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{Pt}_{2}$ : C, 48.20; H, 4.05. Found: C, 48.29; H, 4.09.

Preparation of $\left[\mathrm{Pt}_{2} \mathrm{~Np}_{2}\left(\mu-\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right)_{2}\right]$ (1c). This complex was prepared as above from $[\mathrm{PtClNp}(\operatorname{cod})](0.090 \mathrm{~g}, 0.219 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{TIC}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{PPh}_{2}(0.110 \mathrm{~g}, 0.243 \mathrm{mmol})$ and obtained in $46 \%$ yield. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{44} \mathrm{H}_{50} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{P}_{2}$ : C, $51.26 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.89$. Found: C, $50.92 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.88$.

Preparation of $\left[\mathbf{P t}_{2} \mathbf{P h}_{2}\left(\mu-\mathbf{C}_{5} \mathbf{H}_{4} \mathbf{P P h}\right)_{2}\right]$ (1d). To a solution of $[\mathrm{PtClPh}(\mathrm{cod})](0.550 \mathrm{~g}, 1.32 \mathrm{mmol})$ in benzene $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $\mathrm{TIC}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{PPh}_{2}(0.630 \mathrm{~g}, 1.39 \mathrm{mmol})$ in small portions. The mixture was stirred for 2 days and then filtered. The filtrate was passed down an alumina column ( $80 \times 10 \mathrm{~mm}$ ), and the column was washed with an additional 30 mL of benzene. The combined filtrate was evaporated and the residue was dried in vacuo, leaving the product as a yellow solid $(0.350 \mathrm{~g}, 51 \%)$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{45} \mathrm{H}_{38} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{Pt}_{2}: \mathrm{C}, 52.97 ; \mathrm{H}, 3.67$. Found: C, 53.96; H, 4.80. Crystals suitable for X-ray analysis were grown from an ether solution under argon.

X-ray Structure Determinations. Single crystals of 1a and 1d were mounted on glass fibers in a random orientation. Preliminary exami-
nation was carried out with Mo $\mathrm{K} \alpha$ radiation, using a Siemens P3 or R3 automated four-circle diffractometer. Final cell parameters and orientation matrices were obtained by least-squares refinement of 25 automatically centered reflections ( $20^{\circ}<2 \theta<25^{\circ}$ ) in each case. Axial photographs of the three axes were taken to confirm cell lengths and the lattice symmetry. $\omega$-Scans of representative reflections indicated acceptable crystal quality.
Data were collected by using the $\theta-2 \theta$ scan technique, and the intensities of three standard reflections were measured every 50 reflections. As no significant variation in intensities of the standard reflections was observed during data collection, no decay correction was applied. An empirical absorption correction was applied to the data in each case.
Data reduction and structure solution was achieved by using the SHELXTL PLUS structure solution software package. ${ }^{58}$ The structures were solved by Patterson methods in the space groups $P 2_{1} / n$ and $P_{1}^{1}$ and were refined successfully in these space groups. The remaining non-hydrogen atoms were located from subsequent difference Fourier maps. Full-matrix least-squares refinement was carried out by minimizing the function $w\left(F_{0}-F_{\mathrm{c}}\right)^{2}$. All non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically to convergence. For 1a all hydrogen atoms were refined isotropically, and for $1 d$ the hydrogen atoms were included in their idealized calculated positions and were held fixed.
The final difference Fourier maps had maximum electron densities of 3.33 and $1.06 \mathrm{e} / \AA^{3}$ for 1a and 1d, respectively, which were close to the platinum atoms. Least-squares planes calculated for the phenyl rings and planar fragments showed very small deviations from planarity. Selected bond distances and angles are given in Tables IV and V. Summaries of crystal data, intensity collection parameters, and final structure refinement parameters are presented in Table VI. Figures 1 and 3 show the projection views and atom labeling schemes for $1 \mathbf{1 a}$ and 1 d , respectively.
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